# organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Jian Song,<sup>a</sup> Ke-Xia Lou,<sup>a</sup>\* Xiao-Jun Li,<sup>b</sup> Xiao-Ping Wu<sup>a</sup> and Rong-Xiu Feng<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Pharmaceuticals and Biotechnology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>College of Chemical Engineering, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300130, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: loukexia@twtmail.tju.cn

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.144 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.7

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# 2-(2-Oxopyrrolidin-1-yl)butyramide

The title compound,  $C_8H_{14}N_2O_2$ , also known as levetiracetam and (-)-(S)- $\alpha$ -ethyl-2-oxo-1-pyrrolidineacetamide, was synthesized from L-methionine. The pyrrolidinone ring has a half-chair conformation. Screw-related molecules are linked by N-H···O hydrogen bonds to form layers parallel to the *bc* plane.

# Comment

Levetiracetam is an ethyl analog of the nootropic drug piracetam. It is a new antiepileptic drug (AED) (Bialer *et al.*, 1999), recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. It possesses a chiral center but only the *S* enantiomer has anticonvulsant activity, and therefore it is administered as a single enantiomer (Haria *et al.*, 1997). We report here the crystal structure of Levetiracetam, (I). The molecular structure of (I) is illustrated in Fig. 1. The structure reported and the scheme assume the *S* configuration for chiral C atom C6. The pyrrolidinone ring adopts a half-chair conformation. Weak  $N-H\cdots N$ ,  $C-H\cdots O$  and  $C-H\cdots N$  interactions are observed in the molecular structure. In the crystal structure, screw-related molecules are linked by  $N-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds to form layers parallel to the *bc* plane (Fig. 2 and Table 2).



# **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared according to a previously published method (Cossement et al., 1990) from L-methionine. L-Methionine was esterified to its methyl ester using absolute methanol and thionyl chloride. The resulting L-methionine methyl ester hydrochloride was amidated using gaseous ammonia to obtain L-methionine amide. This amide (50 mmol) was treated with potassium hydroxide (14.0 g), tetrabutylammonium bromide (2.5 mmol) and 4-chlorobutyryl chloride (55 mmol) in dichloromethane to obtain (S)- $\alpha$ -[2-(methylthio)ethyl]-2-oxopyrrolidineacetamide. This compound was reacted with Raney Nickel (T-1) to yield  $(S)-\alpha$ -ethyl-2-oxo-1-pyrrolidineacetamide. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography over silica (eluant: dichloromethane/ methanol/ammonia, 94.5:4.3:0.2 v/v/v). The resulting powder was dissolved in 100 ml ethyl acetate. Single crystals of the title compound, suitable for X-ray analysis, were grown by slow evaporation of the solvent.  $[\alpha]D_{25} - 87.5^{\circ}$  (c = 1 in acetone); <sup>1</sup>H NMR

Received 29 September 2003 Accepted 8 October 2003 Online 23 October 2003

 $\odot~2003$  International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Extinction coefficient: 0.24 (4)



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.



### Figure 2

The crystal structure of (I), viewed along the a axis.

(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, p.p.m.): 0.91 (2H, t), 1.66 (2H, m), 1.93 (2H, m), 2.36 (2H, m), 3.40 (2H, m), 4.45 (1H, t), 6.28 (2H, d); <sup>13</sup>C NMR: 10.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 21.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 44.0 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 56.3 (CH).

#### Crystal data

$C_8H_{14}N_2O_2$	$D_x = 1.294 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 170.21$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, P2 <sub>1</sub>	Cell parameters from 789
a = 6.272 (5)  Å	reflections
b = 7.993 (5)  Å	$\theta = 3.4-23.1^{\circ}$
c = 9.199 (7) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 108.645 \ (9)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2)  K
$V = 437.0 (6) \text{ Å}^3$	Plate, colorless
Z = 2	$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	731 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.048$
$\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.5^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
2515 measured reflections	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
960 independent reflections	$l = -4 \rightarrow 11$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0884P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.144$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
S = 1.08	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
960 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
110 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXL97

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

H-atom parameters constrained

O1-C1	1.231 (5)	C1-C2	1.507 (6)
O2-C5	1.231 (5)	C2-C3	1.500 (7)
N1-C1	1.352 (5)	C3-C4	1.484 (6)
N1-C6	1.451 (4)	C5-C6	1.538 (5)
N1-C4	1.473 (5)	C6-C7	1.521 (6)
N2-C5	1.325 (5)	C7-C8	1.508 (6)
C1-N1-C6	122.9 (3)	C6-N1-C4	123.5 (3)
C1-N1-C4	112.7 (3)		

# Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N2-H2A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.86	2.15	2.995 (5)	168
$N2-H2B\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.86	2.23	3.038 (5)	156
$N2 - H2B \cdot \cdot \cdot N1$	0.86	2.39	2.771 (5)	107
C6-H6···O1	0.98	2.39	2.840 (5)	107
$C8 - H8C \cdot \cdot \cdot N1$	0.96	2.59	2.919 (6)	100

Symmetry codes: (i)  $2 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$ ; (ii)  $2 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 2 - z$ .

H atoms were positioned geometrically (N-H = 0.86 Å and C-H = 0.96-0.98 Å) and refined using a riding model, with  $U_{iso}$  values constrained to be  $1.5U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom for methyl H atoms and  $1.2U_{eq}$  for the remaining H atoms. The Flack (1983) parameter could not be determined reliably because of insufficient anomalous scattering effects. During the final cycles of refinement, the Friedel pairs in the data set were merged.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

#### References

Bialer, M., Johannessen, S. I., Kupferberg, H. J., Levy, R. H., Loiseau, P. & Perucca, E. (1999). *Epilepsy Res.* 34, 1–41.

- Bruker (1997). SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Versions 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cossement, E., Motte, G., Geerts, J. P. & Gobert. J. (1990). GB Patent No. 2 225 322.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Haria, M. & Balfour, J. A. (1997). CNS Drugs, 7, 159-164.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.